

DECLARATION OF PREVIOUS COOPERATION WITH THE APPLICANT

Please state if you know the Applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the Applicant's work, cited his/her papers or assessed his/her work.

--

Please grade the following criteria by using marks from 1 to 5:

- 1 - Poor**
- 2 - Fair**
- 3 - Average**
- 4 - Very good**
- 5 - Excellent**

Note: Please use whole/integer numbers only. Decimal numbers (4.2, 3.5, etc.) are not allowed.

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE	Mark (1-5)
What is the importance of the proposed topic, i. e. <i>importance of the research question</i> , in relation to the entire research area?	1-5
What is the quality and innovativeness of the research plan? To what extent is this project proposal competitive in relation to existing research on the topic?	1-5
What is the potential for publication of project results in top journals (first quartile of the journals in the corresponding scientific area according to the Web of Science database), book publication or the possibility of patenting?	1-5
<i>Please describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly.</i>	
<i>Total</i>	

PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY	Mark (1-5)
How do you assess the feasibility and soundness of the work plan? (When assessing, please take into consideration the planned time, goals and results as well as the available resources. To what extent are the	1-5

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-2019-04)

2nd Evaluation Round Criteria (B)

Peer Review

planned goals, milestones and deliverables realistic? Does the project proposal state all potential risks and ways of dealing with them?)	
How do you assess the size of the research group with regard to the work planned? Is the estimated number of research group members too big, too small or optimal?	1-5
How do you assess the compliance of scientific qualifications of research group members with the work planned?	1-5
To what extent is the proposed methodology appropriate and up-to-date?	1-5
<i>Please describe the project proposal feasibility briefly.</i>	
<i>Total</i>	

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR'S QUALITY	Mark (1-5)
What is the total scientific and professional contribution of the Principal Investigator in the research area?	1-5
What is the productivity of the Principal Investigator in the last 5 years in the context of publishing papers in journals with an IF larger than average in a particular area or in high-quality journals (if the project proposal is submitted in the area of Social Sciences and Humanities)? Does the Principal Investigator have several publications in leading international journals in the area of research in which he/she is the lead or corresponding author, ranked in the first quartile of the finest journals in the observed scientific area according to the Web of Science database or registered patent(s)? <i>If the disciplinary background of the Principal Investigator is the area of Humanities or Social Sciences, does he/she have several papers published in high-quality journals, peer-reviewed books and conference papers?</i>	1-5
How do you assess the Principal Investigator's research group management competencies (on the basis of former research group leadership, PhD students' mentorships and quality of work published with the existing research group)?	1-5
<i>Please describe briefly the Principal Investigator's quality.</i>	
<i>Total</i>	

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN STRENGTHS

(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)

PROJECT PROPOSAL'S MAIN WEAKNESSES

(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)

Recommendations for project proposal funding (please choose one of the following options):

A - I propose the funding of the project proposal in its present form.

B - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor corrections.

C - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in its present form.